THE LEADING ROLE OF GRASSROOTS PARTY ORGANIZATIONS IN IDEOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL EDUCATION OF COLLEGE STUDENTS: A CASE STUDY OF THE BUSINESS SCHOOL OF JIANGXI APPLIED TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY

Qing Xu*, Hui Wang
School of Business, Jiangxi Institute of Applied Science and Technology, Ganzhou 341000, Jiangxi, China.
Corresponding Author: Qing Xu, Email: 119464062@qq.com

Abstract: This research examines the educational leadership role of grassroots Communist Party organizations in students' ideological and political education through a case study of the Business School at Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology. Using a mixed-methods approach combining surveys, interviews, and document analysis, the study investigates organizational structures, operational mechanisms, educational practices, and implementation challenges. Findings reveal that these organizations have developed distinctive approaches to balance standardized political directives with local educational contexts, achieving notable success in developing innovative educational formats and curriculum integration in certain disciplines. However, challenges persist in resource capacity, integration effectiveness in technical disciplines, assessment methodology, and student engagement. The study contributes a contextually-sensitive understanding of ideological education in applied science institutions and proposes organizational optimization strategies, integration enhancement mechanisms, student participation frameworks, evaluation system refinements, and digital transformation pathways. This research enhances understanding of how political organizations exercise educational influence within professional education contexts and offers practical recommendations for strengthening their effectiveness.
Keywords: Grassroots Communist Party organizations; Ideological and political education; Educational leadership; Higher education; Curriculum integration; Organizational effectiveness; Applied science institutions

1 INTRODUCTION

The role of grassroots Communist Party organizations in China's higher education system represents a distinctive feature that shapes the ideological and political development of college students. This research examines how these organizations exert their leadership role in students' ideological and political education through a case study of the Business School at Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology. As Yu notes, the evolving higher education environment requires Party organizations to adapt their methods and enhance their effectiveness in providing political guidance, making this research particularly timely and relevant[1].

The theoretical foundation of this research draws upon several interrelated domains. Yang emphasizes the importance of Marxist precision thinking in college ideological education, arguing for data-driven approaches to achieve educational precision[2]. This provides a theoretical basis for understanding how grassroots Party organizations can effectively target their educational efforts. Chen and Shu articulate the theoretical logic of the mutual construction of student Party building and ideological education, proposing that these domains possess "homogeneous and isomorphic" attributes that allow for structural integration, though they also identify integration barriers that impede optimal coordination[3]. Jiang analyzes organizational strength in university-level Party organizations, highlighting how this capacity directly influences the effectiveness of student ideological education through political leadership and innovative organizational approaches[4]. The research group from Capital University of Physical Education has developed a framework identifying thought leadership and problem-oriented leadership as key elements of the political guidance system[5].

The existing literature reveals several key themes that inform this study. First, regarding the relationship between Party building and ideological education, Wang, Wu, and Pan explore how student Party building promotes high-quality development of ideological education by guiding direction, broadening channels, and strengthening effectiveness[6]. They identify challenges in integration and propose using Party building institutional construction to guide improvements in ideological education systems. Similarly, Wang analyzes the key role of grassroots Party organizations, identifying problems such as singular educational forms and suggesting innovative approaches to enhance organizational educational roles[7].

Second, innovative approaches to Party-led education constitute another significant strand in the literature. Liu explores the "Red Script Murder Mystery" as an innovative approach led by grassroots Party organizations, involving student Party branches collaborating with departments and faculty to create scenario-based educational experiences[8]. Cheng and Wang similarly discuss innovative practices in private universities, proposing ideological education as guidance to enhance leadership effectiveness, particularly through creative approaches supported by Marxism faculty[9].

Third, organizational effectiveness in educational functions represents a central theme. Wei analyzes political functions

of grassroots Party organizations, discussing challenges such as functionalization and lack of integration between Party building leadership and professional development[10]. Wang examines organizational infectivity in ideological education, analyzing mechanisms such as interpersonal attraction and imitative learning, and proposing strategies to enhance organizational attractiveness and cohesion[11].

Fourth, adaptation to contemporary contexts constitutes an important consideration. Wei, Hu, and Cao analyze enhancement paths for ideological education in the intelligent media era, based on extensive questionnaire research[12]. They identify issues such as entertainment tendencies among students online and propose approaches to enhance media literacy and understand network information's influence on political trust. Jin and Li elaborate on the importance of campus culture construction and the leading role of grassroots Party organizations in shaping spiritual, material, and behavioral dimensions of campus culture[13].

Additional perspectives are provided by Huang, who explores Party organizations' leading role in faculty ethics, offering insights applicable to student education contexts[14]. The literature on specialized educational approaches, including "Red Script Murder Mystery" (Liu[8]), demonstrates innovative methods for enhancing Party organizations' educational impact through creative engagement strategies.

Despite this extensive literature, several notable gaps remain. First, there is limited empirical research examining specific implementation practices and their effectiveness in applied science institutions. Second, the literature often treats grassroots Party organizations as homogeneous entities, with insufficient attention to contextual variations. Third, existing research frequently separates organizational aspects from educational outcomes without adequately examining connecting mechanisms.

This research addresses these gaps by examining: How do grassroots Party organizations at the Business School structure their educational functions within an applied science institution context? What mechanisms do these organizations employ to exercise their leadership role? What factors facilitate or constrain effectiveness? How can their educational leadership role be enhanced to better meet contemporary challenges?

Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology's Business School provides an appropriate context for this examination. As an applied science institution, it faces distinctive challenges in integrating ideological education with professionally-oriented curricula. The Business School's grassroots Party organizational structure includes both faculty and student Party branches, allowing for analysis of multiple organizational levels and their respective educational functions.

The subsequent sections will examine the methodology employed to investigate these questions, analyze organizational structures and operational mechanisms, evaluate educational leadership functions, identify implementation challenges, and develop recommendations for enhancing educational effectiveness. This research contributes to understanding how Party organizations can effectively fulfill their educational mission within the specific context of applied science education while adapting to contemporary challenges in higher education.

2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design and Approach

This investigation employs a mixed-methods design that integrates quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively examine the educational leadership functions of grassroots Party organizations. The multifaceted nature of these functions necessitates methodological diversity—statistical measurement to assess outcomes and interpretive approaches to understand processes. The study adopts a case study approach focusing on Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology's Business School, allowing for in-depth examination of organizational mechanisms in their natural context.

This methodological framework aligns with Wei, Hu, and Cao's student-centered perspective on ideological education effectiveness, which employed questionnaire research to capture student experiences[12]. Similarly, the research draws upon Wang's analytical approach to organizational mechanisms in ideological education, adapting these methodological insights to the specific context of grassroots Party organizations in an applied science institution[11].

2.2 Data Collection Methods

The research implements a triangulated data collection strategy combining surveys, interviews, and document analysis to ensure comprehensive coverage and cross-validation of findings.

Questionnaire surveys were distributed to 235 students (stratified across academic years and programs) and 42 faculty members within the Business School. The survey instruments measure perceived effectiveness of Party-led educational activities, engagement levels, and factors influencing educational receptivity. Survey design incorporated Yang's precision-oriented framework, developing measurement scales for organizational visibility, educational impact, and student responsiveness[2]. The surveys provide quantitative data on the reach and reception of Party-led educational initiatives across different student populations.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 18 participants strategically selected to represent key stakeholder perspectives: Party committee members (4), Party branch secretaries (3), student Party members (5), non-Party student representatives (4), and administrative leaders (2). These interviews explored organizational processes, educational strategies, and perceived impacts, with particular attention to mechanisms connecting organizational activities to educational outcomes. The interview protocols incorporated insights from Chen and Shu's framework of mutual

construction between Party building and ideological education[3].

Document analysis examined organizational records including Party committee meeting minutes, work reports, educational activity plans, and assessment materials from 2022-2025. This analysis revealed formal structures, stated objectives, and evaluation criteria that shape educational practices. The document review methodology was informed by the research group from Capital University of Physical Education, adapting their approach to analyzing political leadership functions to the specific context of educational leadership in grassroots organizations[5].

2.3 Sampling Strategy

The sampling approach balanced representational breadth with analytical depth. For quantitative components, stratified random sampling ensured proportional representation across student populations, with stratification variables including academic year, program of study, Party membership status, and demographic characteristics. This approach captured variation in exposure to and reception of Party-led educational activities across different student segments.

For qualitative components, purposive sampling selected participants based on their organizational positions, experiences, and potential to provide insight into leadership processes. This selection prioritized information richness over statistical representativeness, focusing particularly on individuals positioned at the intersection of Party organizations and educational functions. The sampling approach for interviews was developed with reference to Wang, Wu, and Pan's methodology for examining Party building's contribution to ideological education development[6].

2.4 Data Analysis Procedures

The analytical process proceeded through sequential phases of quantitative and qualitative analysis, followed by integrated interpretation of findings.

Quantitative data underwent statistical analysis using SPSS software, including descriptive statistics, correlation analyses examining relationships between organizational variables and educational outcomes, and multiple regression analyses identifying key factors influencing perceived effectiveness of educational leadership functions. This analytical approach was adapted from Wei, Hu, and Cao's statistical methodology for examining ideological education effectiveness in contemporary contexts[12].

Qualitative data underwent thematic analysis through multiple coding cycles, beginning with open coding to identify emergent themes, followed by axial coding to establish relationships between concepts, and concluding with selective coding to integrate findings into coherent frameworks. The coding framework incorporated theoretical categories derived from Jiang's analysis of organizational strength dimensions in university Party organizations and their influence on ideological education[4].

Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings occurred through an explanatory sequential process, with statistical patterns informing qualitative exploration and qualitative insights contextualizing quantitative results. This integrated analytical approach enabled comprehensive examination of both structural patterns and processual dynamics in the educational leadership functions of grassroots Party organizations.

2.5 Validity and Reliability Measures

Methodological rigor was ensured through multiple validity and reliability measures. Content validity was established through expert review of research instruments by both Party organization specialists and education researchers. Construct validity was enhanced through pilot testing and refinement of survey instruments and interview protocols. Ecological validity was maintained through contextual sensitivity in data collection and analysis, ensuring that research processes captured authentic organizational and educational dynamics.

Triangulation served as the primary validity enhancement strategy, operating across methodological, data source, and analytical dimensions. Reliability was established through statistical reliability testing for quantitative instruments and inter-coder reliability procedures for qualitative analysis. Member checking with selected participants verified interpretive accuracy, particularly for complex organizational processes.

2.6 Ethical Considerations

The research adhered to stringent ethical standards throughout the investigation process. Institutional Review Board approval preceded data collection, ensuring compliance with formal ethical requirements. Informed consent was obtained from all participants through detailed information sheets and signed consent forms. Confidentiality protections included anonymization, secure data storage, and coding procedures that protected participant identities.

Particular sensitivity surrounded political dimensions of the research, with explicit protocols established for handling information about Party activities and perspectives. The research navigated the balance between analytical transparency and participant protection, prioritizing participant welfare while maintaining scholarly integrity. Cultural sensitivity in research design and implementation acknowledged the distinctive contexts in which Party organizations operate, shaping question formulation, data collection approaches, and interpretive frameworks.

Through this methodological approach, the research aims to provide empirically grounded insights into how grassroots Party organizations exercise their educational leadership functions within the specific context of a Business School in an applied science university, contributing to both theoretical understanding and practical implementation of effective

Party-led ideological and political education[15].

3   ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND OPERATIONAL MECHANISMS

3.1 Historical Development and Organizational Architecture

The grassroots Party organizations within the Business School of Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology have evolved through distinct developmental phases that reflect broader institutional transformations. Established initially in 2005 with the founding of the Business School, the Party organizational structure has progressively expanded and differentiated to accommodate growing student enrollments and diversifying academic programs. The current organizational architecture consists of a hierarchical structure with the Business School Party Committee at the apex, overseeing four faculty Party branches organized by disciplinary fields (Finance and Economics, Accounting, Marketing, and Management) and six student Party branches structured according to academic programs and cohorts.

This organizational configuration reflects the "dual leadership" model characteristic of Chinese higher education institutions, where Party organizations maintain parallel authority structures alongside administrative hierarchies. The Business School Party Committee, comprising seven members including the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, and Committee Members representing different domains, exercises leadership across organizational, ideological, and educational dimensions. This leadership extends through branch-level organizations to individual Party members, creating a nested structure that facilitates both top-down guidance and bottom-up information flow.

The historical evolution of this organizational structure reveals progressive refinement of coordination mechanisms between Party organizations and academic units. As Yu notes in her analysis of political leadership functions, effective linkage between Party structures and academic departments represents a critical factor in organizational effectiveness[1]. The Business School has developed formalized integration mechanisms, including dual appointments where academic department heads simultaneously serve as Party branch secretaries, joint planning processes for major educational initiatives, and regular coordination meetings between Party and administrative leadership teams.

3.2 Membership Composition and Dynamics

The membership composition of Party organizations within the Business School exhibits significant diversity across dimensions of academic background, professional roles, and demographic characteristics. Faculty Party branches include 68 faculty members, representing 62% of the total faculty cohort, with membership rates varying across disciplinary fields—highest in Management (72%) and lowest in Finance (53%). The faculty membership profile includes representation across academic ranks, though with higher proportions among senior faculty (74% of professors, 66% of associate professors) compared to junior ranks (48% of lecturers, 42% of teaching assistants).

Student Party organizations encompass 143 student members across undergraduate and graduate programs, representing approximately 8% of the total student population. The student membership exhibits distinctive patterns, with higher representation among graduate students (21% membership rate) compared to undergraduates (5.4%), and variation across academic programs, with highest membership in Management programs (12%) and lowest in Marketing (5%). Gender distribution among student Party members shows relative balance (53% female, 47% male), broadly reflecting the overall gender composition of the student population.

Membership recruitment and development processes follow standardized pathways established by higher-level Party organizations but adapted to the educational context. For faculty, recruitment emphasizes academic achievements alongside ideological commitment, while student recruitment follows progressive stages from activist identification through probationary membership to full membership status. The development of student Party members receives particular emphasis, reflecting Wang, Wu, and Pan's observation that student Party members serve as critical bridges between Party organizations and the broader student population in ideological education processes[6].

3.3 Operational Procedures and Governance

The operational mechanisms through which Party organizations exercise their educational leadership functions encompass formal decision-making processes, activity planning and implementation procedures, and assessment frameworks. The Business School Party Committee operates through regular meetings (biweekly for routine matters, quarterly for major planning), with a structured agenda system that prioritizes ideological work alongside organizational development. Branch-level organizations maintain similar meeting structures tailored to their specific contexts, with faculty branches typically meeting monthly and student branches biweekly during academic semesters[16].

Decision-making processes reflect both hierarchical authority structures and collegial deliberation practices. Major educational initiatives originate primarily from Committee-level decisions, informed by guidance from university-level Party organizations and adapted to Business School contexts. Implementation responsibility cascades through branch organizations to individual Party members, with branch secretaries serving as critical nodes in the communication and coordination network. This operational structure enables what Jiang identifies as the translation of organizational strength into educational influence, with clear authority lines supporting consistent implementation of educational directives[4].

Governance mechanisms include formal accountability systems, with branch secretaries reporting regularly to the Committee Secretary and Committee members holding portfolio responsibilities for specific educational domains.

Performance evaluation incorporates both quantitative metrics (activity frequency, participation rates) and qualitative assessments (educational impact, innovation in methods), though the research identified challenges in developing meaningful effectiveness measures beyond superficial activity counts—a limitation also noted in Wei's analysis of political function operationalization in university Party organizations[10].

3.4 Integration with Academic and Administrative Systems

The integration between Party organizations and academic structures represents a critical dimension of organizational effectiveness in educational leadership. The Business School has developed several integration mechanisms that facilitate coordination between Party-led ideological education and discipline-based professional education. These mechanisms include joint planning processes for curriculum development, where Party representatives participate in curriculum committees to identify opportunities for integrating ideological elements within professional courses; collaborative implementation of educational activities that bridge ideological and professional domains; and shared assessment frameworks that evaluate both professional competencies and ideological development.

These integration mechanisms align with Chen and Shu's concept of "homogeneous and isomorphic" attributes between Party building and ideological education, enabling structural connections that enhance educational effectiveness[3]. However, the research also identified persistent integration challenges, including competing priorities between disciplinary depth and ideological breadth, synchronization difficulties in planning cycles between academic and Party organizations, and cultural differences between academic and political organizational systems.

Administrative integration operates through both formal and informal channels. Formally, the overlapping membership between Party organizations and administrative units facilitates coordination, with many academic administrators simultaneously holding Party positions. Informally, regular communication channels have developed between Party and administrative leadership teams, enabling information sharing and collaborative problem-solving outside formal meeting structures. This administrative integration enables resource alignment for educational initiatives, with Party priorities influencing resource allocation decisions within the Business School's operational budget.

3.5 Resource Allocation and Management

The resource foundation for Party organizations' educational leadership functions encompasses human, financial, and infrastructural dimensions. Human resources include both dedicated Party workers (the Committee Secretary and two full-time staff members) and the distributed organizational capacity of Party members throughout the Business School. Financial resources derive primarily from institutional allocations for Party building activities (approximately 3% of the Business School's operational budget), supplemented by special project funding for major educational initiatives. Infrastructural resources include dedicated physical spaces for Party activities, digital platforms for organizational communication, and educational materials supporting ideological education.

Resource management follows a mixed centralized-distributed model, with the Committee maintaining centralized control over core resources while delegating operational resources to branch organizations based on activity plans and performance evaluations. This resource management approach enables strategic prioritization of educational functions while maintaining responsiveness to branch-level needs and opportunities. However, the research identified resource limitations as a significant constraint on educational innovation, particularly for technology-enhanced educational approaches that require substantial initial investment—a constraint also noted in Wei, Hu, and Cao's analysis of ideological education in the intelligent media era[12].

Through these organizational structures and operational mechanisms, grassroots Party organizations within the Business School establish the foundation for their educational leadership functions. The next section examines how these structural elements translate into specific educational practices and leadership approaches in ideological and political education.

4 ANALYSIS OF EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP FUNCTIONS

4.1 Policy Implementation and Adaptation Strategies

The grassroots Party organizations within the Business School demonstrate a distinctive approach to policy implementation that balances fidelity to higher-level directives with adaptation to local educational contexts. This implementation process follows a four-stage pattern: interpretation of policy directives received from university-level Party organizations; contextualization of these directives within the Business School's specific educational environment; operational planning that translates broad directives into concrete activities; and implementation through branch-level organizations with accompanying assessment mechanisms.

The effectiveness of this implementation process varies across policy domains. The research identified stronger implementation effectiveness for organizational policies related to Party building activities, where clear procedural guidelines facilitate consistent application. By contrast, educational policies related to ideological content integration within professional curricula show more variable implementation, reflecting the challenges of translating abstract ideological directives into disciplinary contexts. As Wei notes in his analysis of political functions, this implementation gap often results from insufficient integration between political leadership and professional development domains[10].

Adaptation strategies demonstrate noteworthy innovation in translating standardized directives into educational

approaches relevant to business education contexts. These adaptations include contextualizing theoretical concepts within business case studies, developing discipline-specific applications of ideological principles, and creating educational pathways that connect abstract political concepts to concrete professional practices. These adaptive approaches align with Yang's precision-oriented framework, demonstrating how standardized content can be tailored to specific educational environments to enhance relevance and reception[2].

4.2 Educational Platform Development

Party organizations within the Business School have developed multiple educational platforms that serve as delivery channels for ideological and political education. These platforms encompass both traditional approaches and innovative mechanisms that leverage technological capabilities and student interests.

Traditional educational channels include regularly scheduled theoretical study sessions for both faculty and student Party members, political education courses integrated within formal curricula, themed educational activities marking significant political anniversaries or events, and lecture series featuring Party leaders or academic experts addressing ideological topics. These traditional platforms maintain consistent educational presence but show variable engagement levels across student populations, with higher voluntary participation among Party members and activists compared to the general student body.

Digital innovation in educational delivery represents a significant development area, aligning with Wei, Hu, and Cao's emphasis on adapting ideological education to the intelligent media environment[12]. The Business School's Party organizations have developed several digital platforms, including a WeChat public account for distributing ideological content, an online learning platform hosting interactive educational modules, and digital documentation systems tracking student participation in political education activities. These digital platforms extend educational reach beyond traditional face-to-face contexts and enable personalized learning pathways, though their effectiveness varies substantially across student segments, with higher engagement among technologically-oriented students.

Creative educational formats demonstrate particular innovation, exemplified by the "Red Script Murder Mystery" approach documented by Liu and implemented within the Business School beginning in 2023[8]. This format transforms historical and ideological content into interactive scenarios where students solve mysteries while engaging with political themes, combining entertainment value with educational objectives. Initial implementation involved three mystery scenarios focusing on revolutionary history, economic development principles, and ethical challenges in business contexts, with student participation rates substantially higher than traditional educational formats (68% versus 37% for lecture attendance).

4.3 Curriculum Integration Models

The integration of ideological and political elements within professional curricula represents a central educational strategy for Party organizations, implemented through multiple mechanisms that bridge political and disciplinary domains.

Explicit integration occurs through designated ideological components within professional courses, where faculty incorporate political concepts directly into course content. This explicit integration follows standardized guidelines developed by the Business School Party Committee in collaboration with academic departments, identifying key connection points between disciplinary content and ideological themes. Implementation effectiveness varies across disciplines, with stronger integration in management courses (particularly organizational behavior and business ethics) compared to technically-oriented courses in accounting and finance where conceptual connections prove more challenging.

Implicit value transmission strategies operate through subtler mechanisms, including faculty modeling of values in professional contexts, case studies that incorporate ethical and political dimensions alongside technical content, and experiential learning activities that connect professional practices to broader social responsibilities. These implicit approaches often demonstrate greater student receptivity compared to explicit ideological content, as they contextualize political concepts within professionally relevant frameworks that students perceive as directly connected to career development.

The research identified faculty engagement as a critical factor in curriculum integration effectiveness. Faculty members actively involved in Party organizations (particularly those holding branch leadership positions) demonstrate stronger implementation of integration guidelines compared to non-Party faculty. This pattern highlights the importance of faculty development in supporting curriculum integration, with faculty Party members serving as bridges between political and professional educational domains.

4.4 Party Member Cultivation and Development

The development of student Party members constitutes both an organizational objective and an educational strategy, as these students serve dual functions as recipients and transmitters of ideological education. The Business School implements a structured development pathway that progresses from identifying potential recruits among high-performing students through staged educational programming to full membership status.

Initial recruitment emphasizes academic performance alongside political activism, with faculty recommending candidates based on classroom performance and participation in educational activities. This initial stage aligns with

Wang, Wu, and Pan's[6] observation that effective Party building begins with identifying students who demonstrate both academic potential and ideological receptiveness. Candidate development involves progressive educational responsibilities, beginning with participation in basic theoretical study sessions and advancing to leadership roles in organizing educational activities for broader student populations.

Probationary membership represents an intensive development phase, with structured mentorship programs pairing student candidates with faculty Party members who provide both theoretical guidance and professional development support. This mentorship model demonstrates effective integration between political development and professional education, creating personalized learning pathways that connect ideological growth with academic and career advancement.

The research identified several effectiveness factors in member development processes, including integration of political education with career development opportunities, peer learning networks among student Party members across different academic programs, and progressive leadership responsibilities that provide practical application opportunities for theoretical learning. These factors align with Wang's analysis of organizational infectivity, demonstrating how peer influence and leadership modeling enhance educational effectiveness[11].

4.5 Empirical Case Studies

Three educational initiatives implemented by Business School Party organizations demonstrate distinctive approaches to exercising educational leadership functions, illustrating different integration mechanisms between Party building and ideological education.

The "Economic Theory and Practice" integration seminar series, initiated in 2023, exemplifies curriculum integration approaches. This faculty development program, led by Party branch secretaries from economics disciplines, trains faculty in integrating ideological elements within economics and finance courses. The program developed discipline-specific teaching cases connecting economic theories to policy applications and ethical frameworks, with participating faculty subsequently revising course materials to incorporate these integrated approaches. Assessment data indicates that courses revised through this program demonstrate higher student-reported relevance ratings (average 4.2/5 compared to 3.6/5 for standard courses) and stronger learning outcomes on both disciplinary knowledge and policy understanding dimensions.

The "Business Ethics Leadership Program," launched in 2022, illustrates student development approaches. This selective program, targeting high-potential undergraduate students, combines theoretical study of business ethics principles with practical implementation through community service projects addressing local economic development needs. Party members serve as project mentors, guiding student teams in applying ethical frameworks to real-world challenges. The program demonstrates effective bridging between ideological education and professional skill development, with participants reporting strengthened understanding of social responsibility concepts (89% reporting significant improvement) alongside enhanced leadership capabilities (94% reporting skill development).

The "Digital Citizenship Academy," implemented in 2024, represents educational innovation responding to the intelligent media environment. This online platform, developed by the student Party branch in collaboration with the information systems department, provides interactive modules addressing critical media literacy, digital ethics, and online political participation. The platform incorporates gamification elements to enhance engagement, with participation rates reaching 74% of undergraduate students during its first implementation year. Assessment data indicates improved understanding of digital citizenship concepts (average knowledge gain of 27% on pre/post assessments) and enhanced critical evaluation of online political information (61% demonstrating improved analytical skills).

These case studies illustrate different dimensions of Party organizations' educational leadership functions, demonstrating how organizational structures translate into specific educational practices that address contemporary challenges in ideological and political education within professional education contexts.

5 IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS

5.1 Structural and Organizational Limitations

Despite the established organizational architecture, grassroots Party organizations within the Business School encounter structural limitations that constrain their educational leadership effectiveness. The research identified several key structural challenges that impact implementation quality and reach.

Resource capacity constraints represent a primary limitation, with Party organizations operating within relatively narrow resource parameters compared to academic departments. The Party Committee's operational budget (approximately 3% of the Business School's total budget) limits capacity for extensive educational programming, particularly for resource-intensive initiatives involving technological innovation or experiential learning approaches. As Wei notes in his analysis of political functions, this resource differential often creates implementation gaps between ambitious educational objectives and practical delivery capabilities[10].

Organizational fragmentation presents a second structural challenge, with disconnections sometimes emerging between Committee-level planning and branch-level implementation. The research identified communication barriers between hierarchical levels, with branch organizations occasionally receiving directives without sufficient contextual understanding or implementation guidance. This fragmentation aligns with Chen and Shu's observation regarding

systemic structural integration difficulties between Party building and ideological education, where organizational complexity creates coordination challenges[3].

Workload distribution imbalances constitute a third structural limitation, with disproportionate responsibilities falling on a limited subset of actively engaged Party members. The research found that approximately 30% of Party members contribute to 70% of educational activities, creating potential burnout risks for highly active members while leaving broader organizational capacity underutilized. This imbalance reflects what Wang identifies as challenges in organizational role fulfillment, where formal membership numbers exceed active participation levels[7].

5.2 Integration Barriers with Professional Education

The integration between ideological education and professional curricula represents a particularly challenging implementation domain, with several persistent barriers limiting integration effectiveness.

Disciplinary compatibility variations significantly impact integration success across different academic fields. The research identified stronger integration potential in disciplines with inherent socio-political dimensions (such as management and marketing) compared to technically-oriented fields (particularly accounting and quantitative finance). In these technical disciplines, faculty often struggle to identify meaningful connections between specialized methodological content and broader ideological concepts, leading to artificial or superficial integration attempts that students perceive as disconnected from core disciplinary content.

Faculty capacity gaps present additional integration challenges, with many disciplinary specialists lacking sufficient understanding of ideological concepts to effectively integrate them within professional teaching. While Party member faculty demonstrate higher integration capabilities, they constitute a minority within some disciplinary departments, limiting integration reach across the full curriculum. This capacity limitation aligns with findings from the research group at Capital University of Physical Education, which identified faculty development as a critical factor in enhancing political guidance functions within educational contexts[5].

Competing educational priorities create tensions between depth of disciplinary coverage and breadth of ideological education, particularly within professionally-oriented programs focused on employment outcomes. Faculty interviews revealed concerns about curriculum crowding, with ideological integration sometimes perceived as requiring trade-offs against technical content coverage. These tensions reflect broader challenges in balancing multiple educational objectives within constrained instructional timeframes, requiring careful prioritization and integration approaches that enhance rather than compete with disciplinary learning.

5.3 Assessment and Evaluation Challenges

The assessment of educational leadership effectiveness presents methodological and practical challenges that complicate evaluation of Party organizations' impact on student development.

Measurement complexity stems from the multidimensional nature of ideological and political education outcomes, which encompass knowledge components, value orientations, and behavioral dispositions. Current assessment practices within the Business School primarily emphasize quantitative activity metrics (participation rates, event frequencies) and satisfaction measures rather than substantive learning or developmental outcomes. This measurement approach aligns with Yang's critique of imprecision in ideological education assessment, where accessible metrics substitute for meaningful evaluation of educational impact[2].

Attribution difficulties compound assessment challenges, as students experience multiple influences on their ideological and political development beyond Party-led educational activities. Disentangling the specific contribution of Party organizations from other factors—including classroom instruction, peer influences, media consumption, and family backgrounds—presents significant methodological challenges. The research found that current evaluation approaches inadequately address these attribution questions, leading to potentially inflated claims regarding organizational impact on student development.

Temporal dimensions further complicate assessment, as significant aspects of ideological and political education involve long-term developmental processes that extend beyond measurement timeframes. While immediate learning can be assessed through knowledge tests, more profound value integration and behavioral disposition development involve extended timeframes that current assessment approaches rarely capture. This temporal challenge reflects Wei, Hu, and Cao's observation regarding the complex formation mechanisms of political trust among students, which involve multistage developmental processes difficult to capture through conventional assessment methods[12].

5.4 Student Engagement Variables

Student receptivity to Party-led educational initiatives varies substantially across student populations, with several key factors influencing engagement patterns.

Generational characteristics significantly impact reception of ideological education, with current students (predominantly born after 2000) demonstrating distinctive engagement preferences compared to previous cohorts. Survey data revealed stronger preference for interactive, experiential, and technology-enhanced educational formats (76% expressing preference) compared to traditional lecture-based approaches (24% preference). This generational pattern aligns with Liu's[8] analysis of innovative approaches like "Red Script Murder Mystery," which address contemporary students' engagement preferences through interactive educational formats.

Prior political socialization creates substantial variation in baseline knowledge and interest levels across the student population. Students from families with Party membership backgrounds or from regions with stronger political education traditions demonstrate higher initial engagement with Party-led educational activities. This variation necessitates differentiated approaches that accommodate diverse starting points, though current programming often employs standardized formats that inadequately address this heterogeneity.

Perceived relevance to professional development significantly influences student reception, with higher engagement for educational initiatives that explicitly connect ideological concepts to career preparation. Survey data indicated that 78% of students considered career relevance "important" or "very important" in their decision to participate in voluntary educational activities. This relevance factor aligns with Wang, Wu, and Pan's[6] findings regarding the importance of connecting ideological education to practical concerns relevant to students' future professional roles.

5.5 Contextual Factors Affecting Implementation

The specific institutional context of an applied science university creates distinctive challenges for implementing Party-led ideological education compared to comprehensive or research-intensive universities.

Professional orientation represents a defining contextual characteristic, with the Business School's educational mission primarily focused on developing practical business competencies for immediate employment contexts. This applied focus creates both opportunities for connecting ideological education to practical applications and challenges when abstract theoretical concepts appear disconnected from practical skills development. Faculty interviews revealed tension between professional training objectives and broader ideological education goals, requiring careful integration strategies that demonstrate relevance to professional contexts.

Institutional history and identity factors further shape implementation contexts. As a relatively young institution (established in 1999) with primarily regional recruitment and employment networks, Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology lacks the established political education traditions found in older, elite universities. This developmental context necessitates adaptations of standardized approaches to align with institutional identity and student characteristics, though national directives sometimes insufficiently accommodate these contextual variations.

Regional economic development priorities create additional contextual influences, as the institution's location in a developing region of Jiangxi Province shapes educational expectations toward immediate economic contribution. Local government and industry stakeholders emphasize practical skills development, sometimes viewing ideological education as secondary to employment preparation. Party organizations must navigate these contextual expectations while maintaining focus on ideological education objectives, requiring strategic framing that connects political understanding to regional development contributions.

These implementation challenges and constraints collectively shape the operational environment within which grassroots Party organizations exercise their educational leadership functions. Understanding these limiting factors provides essential context for developing realistic enhancement strategies that address specific barriers rather than proposing idealized solutions disconnected from practical implementation realities. The final section develops recommendations for strengthening organizational effectiveness within these contextual parameters.

6 IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of grassroots Party organizations' educational leadership functions within the Business School of Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology yields several significant theoretical contributions and practical recommendations for enhancing organizational effectiveness. These findings contribute to broader understanding of how political organizations exercise educational influence within professional higher education contexts, with implications that extend beyond the specific case study to similar institutional environments.

6.1 Theoretical Contributions

This research extends existing theoretical frameworks for understanding Party organizations' educational functions through several conceptual refinements. First, it develops an integrated model of organizational influence that bridges structural and processual dimensions, demonstrating how formal organizational arrangements translate into educational practices through intermediary mechanisms including leadership behaviors, resource allocations, and communication channels. This integrated perspective advances beyond the structural focus prevalent in existing literature, such as Wei's analysis of organizational functions, by explicating the conversion processes that transform structural capacity into educational impact[10].

Second, the research contributes a contextually-sensitive understanding of ideological education effectiveness in applied science institutions. By identifying the distinctive challenges and opportunities within professionally-oriented educational environments, the study refines Chen and Shu's mutual construction framework to account for disciplinary variations in integration potential[3]. This refinement helps explain why integration succeeds more readily in some academic domains than others, providing a more nuanced understanding of how institutional contexts shape educational possibilities.

Third, the findings advance theoretical understanding of student reception factors in ideological education. By documenting how perceived professional relevance, generational characteristics, and prior political socialization jointly influence educational receptivity, the research extends Wei, Hu, and Cao's student-centered perspective to incorporate

multiple reception dimensions. This multifaceted reception model helps explain the variable effectiveness of different educational approaches across student populations, contributing to more sophisticated audience segmentation within ideological education theories[12].

6.2 Practical Recommendations

Organizational optimization strategies should address the structural limitations identified in the research. First, developing tiered membership engagement systems that differentiate participation expectations across member categories could address workload imbalances while maintaining broad organizational involvement. Second, implementing structured communication protocols between hierarchical levels—including regular cross-level consultation forums and standardized feedback mechanisms—would reduce organizational fragmentation and improve implementation alignment. Third, strategic resource concentration on high-impact educational initiatives rather than diffuse distribution across multiple activities would enhance capacity for depth-oriented programming despite overall resource constraints.

Integration enhancement mechanisms can strengthen connections between ideological education and professional curricula. Discipline-specific integration frameworks, developed collaboratively by Party organizations and academic departments, would provide tailored approaches that respect disciplinary characteristics rather than imposing standardized integration models. Faculty development programs focused specifically on integration capacities, prioritizing disciplines with identified integration challenges, would address faculty capability gaps limiting implementation. Cross-functional teaching teams combining ideological expertise with disciplinary knowledge could create collaborative implementation structures for complex integration initiatives beyond individual faculty capabilities.

Student participation frameworks require refinement to address engagement challenges and reception variations. Segmented programming strategies that differentiate educational approaches based on student characteristics—including prior knowledge levels, academic programs, and career aspirations—would enhance relevance across diverse student populations. Progressive engagement pathways offering escalating involvement opportunities from casual participation to leadership roles would create developmental continuity currently lacking in episodic programming approaches. Explicit connection mechanisms linking ideological education to employment preparation—including industry partnerships highlighting political knowledge requirements in business environments—would strengthen perceived relevance among professionally-oriented students.

Evaluation system refinement represents a critical implementation priority for measuring educational impact beyond superficial metrics. Developing multidimensional assessment frameworks incorporating knowledge, attitudinal, and behavioral indicators would provide more comprehensive evaluation of educational outcomes. Implementing longitudinal tracking systems that follow student development across their educational trajectory would address the temporal limitations of current cross-sectional assessment approaches. Comparative assessment mechanisms examining differential educational impacts across student segments and program types would generate more nuanced understanding of effectiveness factors currently obscured by aggregated evaluation.

Digital transformation pathways offer significant potential for extending educational reach and enhancing engagement. Building upon the initial success of digital platforms like the "Digital Citizenship Academy," Party organizations should develop comprehensive digital strategies integrating online and offline educational components into coherent learning experiences. Interactive content formats incorporating gamification elements would address generational engagement preferences while maintaining substantive educational content. Personalized learning pathways utilizing digital platforms to tailor content to individual student characteristics would enhance both relevance perception and learning effectiveness across diverse student populations.

6.3 Conclusion

Grassroots Party organizations within the Business School of Jiangxi University of Applied Science and Technology demonstrate distinctive approaches to exercising educational leadership functions that balance standardized political directives with adaptation to local educational contexts. These organizations have developed organizational structures and operational mechanisms that enable implementation of ideological and political education through multiple channels, though with variable effectiveness across different student populations and educational domains.

The research identified significant achievements in organizational development, educational innovation, and curriculum integration, particularly in disciplines with natural connections to political and societal dimensions. Innovative approaches like the "Red Script Murder Mystery" format demonstrate creative adaptation to contemporary student engagement preferences while maintaining substantive educational content. Integration initiatives like the "Economic Theory and Practice" seminar series show promising approaches to connecting disciplinary content with ideological frameworks in mutually reinforcing educational approaches.

However, persistent challenges remain in resource capacity, integration effectiveness across highly technical disciplines, assessment methodology, and student engagement among non-politically oriented students. These limitations reflect both contextual constraints specific to applied science institutions and broader challenges in ideological education within contemporary higher education environments. The recommendations developed from this research provide a roadmap for addressing these challenges through targeted organizational, educational, and technological strategies that build upon existing strengths while addressing identified limitations.

This case study contributes to broader understanding of how political organizations exercise educational influence within professional education contexts, illuminating both the possibilities and constraints inherent in Party-led approaches to ideological and political education. The findings suggest that effective educational leadership requires careful balancing of political objectives with educational methodologies, organizational capacity with implementation ambitions, and standardized directives with contextual adaptations.

Future research should extend this investigation through comparative studies across different institutional types, longitudinal examination of educational impacts beyond immediate learning outcomes, and deeper exploration of integration models within highly technical disciplines. Such expanded research would further enrich understanding of how grassroots Party organizations can most effectively fulfill their educational leadership functions within the evolving landscape of Chinese higher education.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

REFERENCES

[1] G Yu. New situation under the college basic-level party organization political leadership role research. Contemporary Education Practice and Teaching Research, 2017(07): 242–243.

[2] J Yang. Precision of ideological and political education for college students. Heilongjiang University Press, 2015.

[3] J Chen, H Shu. Research on mutual construction of student party building and ideological and political education in universities. School Party Building and Ideological Education, 2025(04): 28–30.

[4] L Jiang. The influence of organizational strength of grass-roots party organizations in colleges and universities on ideological and political education of students. China Military to Civilian, 2023(8): 166–168.

[5] Capital University of Physical Education Research Group on "Strengthening the Political Leadership of Grass-roots Party Organizations in Colleges and Universities". Research on strengthening the political leadership of grass-roots party organizations in colleges and universities. Beijing Education, 2017(07–08): 82–85.

[6] Y Wang, L Wu, G Pan. Analysis of how student party building in colleges and universities helps the high-quality development of college students' ideological and political education. Journal of Hubei Open Vocational College, 2024, 37(22): 130–132.

[7] Y Wang. Research on innovation of ideological and political education of student grass-roots party organizations in colleges and universities, Industry and Technology Forum, 2019(13): 287-288.

[8] Y Liu. "Red script murder mystery": Innovation and application of college students' ideological and political education carried out by grass-roots party organizations in the new era. University, 2023(24): 14–17.

[9] Y Cheng, X Wang. Research on the innovation of ideological and political education practice in private colleges and universities in the new era. Decision Exploration, 2021(09): 27–28.

[10] Y Wei. Research on the political leadership role of grass-roots party organizations in colleges and universities in the new era. Modern Communication, 2021(05): 175–177.

[11] Z Wang. The connotation, generation mechanism and promotion strategy of organizational infectivity in college students' ideological and political education. Journal of Northeast Normal University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition), 2024(05): 134–141.

[12] Z Wei, Y Hu, Y Cao. Research on the path to improve the effectiveness of ideological and political education in colleges and universities in the intelligent media era – from a student-centered perspective. Modern Business, Trade and Industry, 2025(03): 46–49.

[13] Y Jin, X Li. The leading role and realization path of grass-roots party organizations in campus culture construction in colleges and universities. Journal of Party and Government Cadres, 2017(6): 39–42.

[14] B Huang. The leading role of grass-roots party organizations in colleges and universities in the work of teachers' ethics and style. Political Work Garden, 2023(5): 135–137.

[15] M Zavala, N Henning. The Role of Political Education in the Formation of Teachers as Community Organizers: Lessons From a Grassroots Activist Organization. Urban Education, 2021, 56(7): 1123–1148.

[16] A Kezar, J Lester. Internationalising Student Learning at the Meso Level: The Impact of Grassroots Leadership in a University in Central Europe. European Political Science, 2024.