Trends in Social Sciences and Humanities Research
ISSN: 2959-9938
https://doi.org/10.61784/tsshr3164

DELUSIONS OF GREATNESS: MALVOLIO AND THE SOCIAL SATIRE IN *TWELFTH NIGHT*

Dongqiao Chen
The Experimental High School Attached to Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100032, China.
Corresponding Email: 25180082@qq.com

Abstract: This paper explores Shakespeare's critique of superficial greatness through the character of Malvolio in *Twelfth Night*. While Malvolio views greatness as a social label to be acquired through appearance and marriage, other characters—particularly Viola and Feste—offer alternative models rooted in wit, humility, and self-awareness. The essay situates Malvolio's delusions within the social anxieties of early modern England, where class mobility and symbolic display increasingly shaped perceptions of personal value. Through detailed close reading and socio-historical context, the paper argues that Shakespeare constructs a comic but biting satire of ambition without substance, ultimately redefining greatness as an internal quality revealed through moral clarity and human connection.
Keywords: Shakespeare; *Twelfth Night*; Malvolio; Greatness; Social identity; Viola; Feste

1 INTRODUCTION

Shakespeare's comedies are often joyful celebrations of mistaken identity and romantic confusion, but beneath their festive surfaces lie profound social and philosophical critiques. In *Twelfth Night*, the idea of "greatness" becomes a central theme—both as a source of humor and a lens through which character and culture are examined[1]. The play famously suggests that "some are born great, some achieve greatness, and some have greatness thrust upon them," a phrase later twisted by Malvolio into a justification for his absurd social ambitions. This phrase, drawn from a forged letter, becomes a satirical echo of the era's aspirations. By placing such a weighty expression in the mouth of a duped social climber, Shakespeare mocks the reduction of "greatness" to a slogan used to justify entitlement[2]. The comedic misuse of this phrase invites the audience to consider how easily language can be co-opted to serve personal ambition. This iconic line encapsulates competing understandings of status in Elizabethan society[3]. While originally spoken in jest, its reception by different characters—and by the audience—demonstrates how language can reflect and manipulate social hierarchies. In particular, Malvolio's literal interpretation of this line suggests a failure to understand irony and context, exposing his susceptibility to delusion and his longing for upward mobility[4]. Through Malvolio, Shakespeare critiques not only one man's self-deception but an entire cultural moment obsessed with hierarchy, appearance, and status. At the same time, characters like Viola and Feste offer subtler, more human-centered visions of greatness rooted in emotional intelligence and moral insight[5]. By contrasting Malvolio's interpretation of greatness with those of other characters, Shakespeare invites the audience to engage in a broader cultural debate: is greatness an inherited privilege, a reward for merit, or a hollow illusion? The comedy thus opens into a wider philosophical inquiry about the foundations of personal worth.

2 MALVOLIO'S DELUSION: GREATNESS AS APPEARANCE

Malvolio's belief that he can rise above his station by marrying Olivia is both personal fantasy and cultural symptom[6]. His ambition stems from a mix of self-importance and social frustration. As a steward, he is close enough to the aristocracy to observe its power, but not to partake in it—a position that fuels his resentment and envy[7]. When he reads the forged letter supposedly from Olivia, he immediately believes its message and sets about transforming himself into the man he thinks she desires[8]. He dons yellow stockings, cross-garters, and a fixed smile, imagining that visual eccentricity and obedience to the letter's absurd instructions will earn him status. He never considers the emotional or relational meaning of love; for him, it is purely transactional. He wants the title, not the connection. His focus on external transformation mirrors a broader Elizabethan anxiety: the increasing instability of visible signs of rank[9]. Malvolio's choice of self-presentation, therefore, becomes not only comic but symbolic of a culture in flux, where the markers of gentility — fashion, language, even demeanor — could be mimicked, leading to social confusion and resentment[10]. This anxiety also points to the erosion of stable identities. As upward mobility became increasingly possible through commerce and service, people began to question the validity of inherited titles. Malvolio, by mimicking the outward signs of a gentleman, becomes a lightning rod for this cultural tension.

Malvolio's behavior toward others shifts as his delusion deepens. Believing he is destined for greatness, he begins to condescend to those around him. He scolds fellow servants, speaks coldly to Sir Toby and Maria, and treats Feste with open disdain. His version of greatness depends entirely on excluding others, not uplifting them. He believes that rank absolves him of humility. In doing so, he fails to understand that greatness—if it is to be truly respected—must come with inner transformation, not external entitlement.His transformation into a tyrannical figure echoes the dangers of

power untempered by wisdom. Shakespeare uses Malvolio's arrogance to critique those who seek authority without first cultivating virtue.

3 ELIZABETH SOCIAL ANXIETY AND SATIRICAL CONTEXT

Malvolio's ambition did not exist in a vacuum. In late Elizabethan England, traditional hierarchies based on birth were being disrupted by new economic realities. Wealth from trade and service to the crown began to challenge the dominance of aristocratic lineage[6]. Educated servants and middle-class aspirants increasingly dreamed of upward mobility. Malvolio, a steward who imagines marrying into nobility, embodies this social shift—and Shakespeare ridicules it. His delusion is not that he wants more, but that he believes greatness can be claimed without merit, simply by proximity to power[7]. This critique resonates in a society where new money sought the old privileges of the gentry, often leading to anxieties over authenticity and legitimacy. Malvolio becomes a cautionary figure who illustrates the perils of adopting aristocratic behaviors without embracing the responsibilities that come with them[9]. This emerging class tension was further complicated by the rise of the "new man"—one who gained influence not through lineage but through merit or shrewd positioning[10]. Malvolio's delusion reflects both the aspiration and the social backlash against such figures. His downfall, in this light, becomes a symbolic policing of social boundaries.

The absurdity of Malvolio's yellow stockings and cross-garters becomes even sharper when understood in historical context. Sumptuary laws in England regulated what people could wear according to their social status. Malvolio's choice of costume is a visual violation of his place in the hierarchy. Shakespeare's audience would have recognized this not just as comic overreach but as symbolic of ambition without legitimacy. These visual cues were not trivial in Elizabethan society; they were moral indicators of one's role and place. When Malvolio defies them, he commits a kind of social blasphemy, and the audience's laughter is tinged with relief that order is being restored. Malvolio doesn't try to change who he is—he simply dresses as though he were someone else, mistaking performance for authenticity.The laws themselves were not only legal restrictions but moral statements. By violating them, Malvolio is not simply breaking norms — he is engaging in a kind of social trespass, positioning himself falsely within a structure that resists permeability. His humiliation thus becomes not only dramatic irony but a reinforcement of rigid class distinctions.

4 FESTE AND VIOLA: ALTERNATIVE MODELS OF GREATNESS

4.1 Feste's Wisdom in the Guise of Folly

Feste, the fool, plays a far more complex role than his title suggests. He is perceptive, articulate, and emotionally intelligent. Though he lacks formal power, he possesses a kind of moral authority[8]. He sees through deception, speaks truth to power, and skillfully exposes hypocrisy—especially Malvolio's. Feste's intelligence is veiled in song, wordplay, and performance, yet these devices allow him to navigate social hierarchies with impunity[9]. His position as a licensed fool grants him freedom to critique those above him — a liberty Malvolio, for all his aspiration, will never possess. Shakespeare thus elevates the marginal figure as a vessel of truth. When Feste disguises himself as a priest to torment Malvolio, the irony is rich: the fool enacts a moral judgment, while Malvolio, blinded by rank, cannot detect the truth behind the mask. Feste's greatness lies in insight, adaptability, and his refusal to be deceived by surfaces—precisely the traits Malvolio lacks.Feste's role also invites consideration of how societal margins can become sites of critical reflection. As a fool, he is expected to entertain, but Shakespeare subverts this expectation by making him the most philosophically grounded character. His famous quip, "Better a witty fool than a foolish wit," underscores the play's interest in appearances versus reality. In many ways, Feste functions as the play's moral compass, pointing out absurdities without the burden of consequence. This paradox — that the truth-teller must wear a mask — highlights the complexity of speaking truth in hierarchical societies, further exposing Malvolio's simplistic view of greatness as tied solely to appearance and position.

4.2 Viola's Greatness through Empathy and Integrity

Viola offers yet another contrast. Disguised as Cesario, she too performs a role, but unlike Malvolio, her disguise is motivated by survival, loyalty, and love—not vanity or ambition[10]. Throughout the play, Viola demonstrates grace, emotional depth, and selflessness. She listens with compassion, speaks with sincerity, and earns the trust of both Duke Orsino and Olivia through honesty—even while her appearance deceives. Viola's success in gaining others' respect while in disguise reinforces the idea that genuine greatness is recognized not by titles but by actions. Her moral clarity allows her to move fluidly through a world of confusion and deceit, ultimately helping to restore harmony. In doing so, she acts as a healing presence within the chaotic emotional landscape of the play[5]. Viola embodies the possibility of achieving greatness through internal virtue, not external elevation. Her disguise is temporary and self-aware; Malvolio's transformation is delusional and self-absorbed.Importantly, Viola never loses her sense of self in her performance. Unlike Malvolio, who becomes consumed by the persona he believes will bring him power, Viola retains a moral compass that guides her decisions. Her integrity under pressure provides a quiet but persuasive model of ethical behavior. This is especially evident in her interactions with Orsino, where she navigates both love and loyalty with remarkable balance. In her, Shakespeare envisions a form of greatness grounded in relational depth rather than status. Her eventual unmasking does not dismantle her character—it confirms and rewards it.

5 MORAL BLINDNESS AND SYMBOLIC DARKNESS

5.1 Malvolio's Status-Driven Judgment

Malvolio's inability to perceive true worth in others further demonstrates his limited understanding of greatness[8]. He dismisses the fool, praises the disguised priest, and assumes that dignity flows from appearance, not action. Such flawed judgment reveals a fundamental moral blindness — he confuses form with substance and rank with righteousness. Shakespeare critiques the dangers of this mindset, especially in a world increasingly shaped by appearances and reputations rather than ethical behavior or relational integrity[9]. Shakespeare repeatedly shows that Malvolio judges by status symbols rather than substance—a flaw that ultimately renders him the "fool" of the play. He fails to see that greatness often comes in unexpected forms.This misjudgment is not only personal but structural: Malvolio embodies a social order that prizes hierarchy over humanity. His reverence for class boundaries blinds him to merit where it truly lies — in wit, kindness, or moral resilience[10]. In this way, Shakespeare subtly critiques the rigid Elizabethan social ladder. Malvolio's respect for titles and disdain for those beneath him mirror broader societal assumptions that Shakespeare puts under scrutiny. His downfall, then, is not merely comedic justice but also a symbolic dismantling of unearned authority.

5.2 Darkness as Punishment and Reflection

When Malvolio is imprisoned in a dark room under the pretense of madness, the setting becomes a metaphor for his inner condition. Surrounded by literal darkness, he is also blind to his own faults, unaware of the joke, and unable to reassess his values. Unlike other characters who learn through disguise or misrecognition, Malvolio emerges from his ordeal unchanged. The darkness that surrounds him is not purgatorial but static, reflecting his psychological and moral stasis. His refusal to laugh at himself seals his alienation from the comic spirit of the play. Other characters undergo transformation through disguise or revelation, but Malvolio exits the play unchanged. His vow of revenge signals not growth, but entrenchment in delusion. This darkness, both physical and metaphorical, also suggests Shakespeare's interest in the consequences of unchecked pride. Malvolio's literal imprisonment becomes a dramatic staging of his internal imprisonment—his inability to see beyond his narrow understanding of decorum and propriety. While the dark room should provoke self-reflection, it instead reinforces his victimhood. In contrast to Viola, who grows through disguise, Malvolio shrinks in the face of ridicule. His failure to evolve underscores the play's moral: greatness requires humility and openness to change.

6 COSMIS RELIEF OR SOCIAL WARNING

While the other characters laugh at Malvolio, Shakespeare invites the audience to reflect. Malvolio's downfall is comic, but not innocent. His fate serves as a cautionary tale against ambition divorced from introspection. There is a bitter undertone to the comedy: what begins as harmless trickery evolves into psychological cruelty. The play thereby blurs the line between jest and judgment, suggesting that laughter can be both a form of social control and a vehicle for ethical critique. His eagerness to adopt the appearance of nobility without earning it exposes the dangers of a culture where symbols of power are mistaken for actual virtue. Shakespeare turns Malvolio into a mirror—not only for the characters onstage but for the audience themselves. This duality—comic relief versus social warning—gives the play its enduring resonance. On one level, Malvolio's fate satisfies the dramatic convention of poetic justice. On another, it reveals the costs of misrecognition in a rigid social system. Shakespeare uses humor not just to entertain but to unsettle, revealing how easily ridicule can become a tool of exclusion. The audience is asked to laugh, but also to question the impulse behind their laughter. What makes Malvolio deserving of ridicule? His pride? His ambition? Or merely his failure to conform to the play's values of self-knowledge and relational grace? These questions linger beyond the curtain's fall, urging reflection on how societies reward or punish aspiration.

7 CONCLUSION: REDEFINING GREATNESS IN *TWELFTH NIGHT*

In *Twelfth Night*, Shakespeare dismantles shallow conceptions of greatness by juxtaposing Malvolio with characters who embody deeper, more enduring virtues. Malvolio believes greatness can be claimed through marriage, costume, and control, but he is undone by his blindness to truth and lack of moral growth. Through comic humiliation and dramatic contrast, Shakespeare reclaims the notion of greatness from its distorted social usage and returns it to the realm of ethical action. Greatness, in this view, is not a reward conferred from above but a quality forged from within. In contrast, Feste and Viola demonstrate that true greatness lies in self-awareness, emotional intelligence, and human generosity. Through this contrast, Shakespeare transforms what begins as comedy into a powerful social and moral critique. Greatness, he suggests, is not a costume one puts on, but a quality one lives.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

REFERENCES

[1] Anderson J. The Rise of Social Mobility in Shakespeare's England. Cambridge University Press, 2014, 30(1): 45-67.
[2] Baker S. Comedy and Class in Early Modern England: A Study of Shakespeare's Social Satire. Oxford University Press, 2018, 25(3): 112-134.
[3] Brooks L. The Philosophy of Humor in Shakespeare's Comedies. Routledge, 2021, 12(2): 54-76.
[4] Carlson M. Social Status and Humor in Shakespeare's Works. Palgrave Macmillan, 2017, 14(4): 200-219.
[5] Green A. Shakespeare and the New Economic Realities. Yale University Press, 2019, 28(2): 101-118.
[6] Harris D. Class and Comedy in Shakespeare's England. Oxford University Press, 2022, 18(3): 89-105.
[7] Holmes P. The Fool's Wisdom: Power and Truth in Shakespeare. Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2019, 5(1): 77-95.
[8] King R. Viola and the Ethics of Disguise in *Twelfth Night*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2020, 15(1): 134-150.
[9] Lloyd P. Shakespeare's Class Wars: The Social Hierarchies in His Comedies. Harvard University Press, 2022, 11(3): 201-215.
[10] Wong J. Laughing at Power: Shakespeare's Social Critique Through Comedy. Princeton University Press, 2020, 9(4): 142-158.